

50X1-HUM

CLASSIFICATION ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ **CONFIDENTIAL**
 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY REPORT
 INFORMATION FROM
 FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS CD NO. []

COUNTRY USSR
 SUBJECT Scientific - Chemistry
 HOW PUBLISHED Monthly periodical
 WHERE PUBLISHED Moscow
 DATE PUBLISHED Sep 1949
 LANGUAGE Russian

DATE OF INFORMATION 1947
 DATE DIST. 6 Mar 1950
 NO. OF PAGES 1
 SUPPLEMENT TO REPORT NO.

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF ESPIONAGE ACT 50 U. S. C. 31 AND 32 AS AMENDED ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW REPRODUCTION OF THIS FORM IS PROHIBITED

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE Zhurnal Obshchey Khimii, Vol XIX, No 9, 1949.

PREPARATION OF BORON FLUORIDE BY THE ACID METHODS

I. G. Ryss and Ye. M. Polyakova
 Ural Sci Res Chem Inst
 Sverdlovsk
 Submitted 20 March 1947

[A digest]

An 80 percent yield of BF_3 was obtained by the decomposition of a mixture of KBF_4 and a stoichiometric quantity of B_2O_3 with a 200 percent excess of oleum at 180 degrees centigrade. Similar treatment of a mixture of CaF_2 and B_2O_3 at 180 degrees centigrade with a 50 percent excess of B_2O_3 and a 100 percent excess of oleum gave a 65 percent (or 15 percent lower) yield.

In the first process, KBF_4 was derived through the simple operations of precipitation, filtration, and drying; and the extremely troublesome operation of dehydrating B_2O_3 with the accompanying greater loss of expensive boric acid was almost eliminated. Cost of materials may be reduced further by the preparation of "fluoroboric acid" through the interaction of fluorite, boric acid, and dilute sulfuric acid.

[Collaborating with M. M. Slutskaya, Ryss, associated with the Laboratory of General Chemistry, Dnepropetrovsk Metallurgical Institute, previously published two articles on "The Rate of Formation of Tetrafluoroboric Acid in Mixtures of Hydrofluoric and Boric Acids" []

The first process proceeded with greater uniformity and less foaming than the second. Moreover, the removal of the "bisulfite" residue from the apparatus used for the reaction in the initial process can be performed more easily than the removal of the "gypsum" residue formed in the second.

Therefore, the first method is preferable to the second not only in laboratory research but also for technological purposes.

- E N D -

- 1 -

CLASSIFICATION		CONFIDENTIAL		DISTRIBUTION							
STATE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAVY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSRB									
ARMY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FBI									

50X1-HUM